



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,951	11/01/2000	Alison Gillespie	SD99511A	4050

210 7590 06/14/2004

MERCK AND CO INC
P O BOX 2000
RAHWAY, NJ 070650907

EXAMINER

BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T .

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/703,951	Applicant(s) GILLESPIE ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Brannock	Art Unit 1646	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 4/19/04, have been entered in full.

New claims 14-23 are pending.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that any outstanding objection or rejection that is not expressly maintained in this Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows: The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

In the instant case, the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 11, does not appear to be supported in prior application 08149503. Support for such appears in prior application 08/028031, filed March 8, 1993; therefore claims 14, 17-23 will be given the priority date of March 8, 1993.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant appears to assert that support for SEQ ID NO: 11 and 12 can be found in the 07/938154 application, now U.S. Patent No: 5981193, however the examiner can find no evidence of this. Applicant is invited to point precisely as to where the evidence can be found.

Additionally the invention of claims 15 and 16, i.e. a cell line derived from a rat pituitary tumor, does not appear to be supported by any prior application. Thus, the priority date for claims 15 and 16, is given to be the filing date of the instant application, as set forth previously regarding claim 3. Applicant does not appear to challenge this assertion.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 14 and 17-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 5837489.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are directed to a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 11 encoding a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 12 which are identical to SEQ ID NO: 7 and 8, respectively, of the 5837489 patent, although the wording of the claims, and perhaps the scope, differs slightly.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng-X et al., Molecular Pharmacology 45(546-554)1994 in view of Quik-M et al. Journal of Neurochemistry 67(145-154)1996, as set forth previously regarding claim 3. Peng et al. teach the heterologous expression of a polypeptide having the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 12, yet the instant claims require that the cell line be a rat pituitary cell line or more particularly the Mouse GH4C1 cell line. Quik et al. teach this cell line and also that the $\alpha 7$ subunit of a neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor should be expressed in rat pituitary cell line because these cells do not possess natural nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and thus the expressed $\alpha 7$ subunit can be studied without interference from endogenous receptors (see the Abstract). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, and with reasonable expectation of success, would be motivated to express the $\alpha 7$ subunit encoding nucleic acid of Peng-X et al. in a rat pituitary cell line as taught by Quik. The motivation to do so is provided by Quik who teach the desirability of doing so, as explained above.

Applicant's arguments, as they may relate to the instant rejection, have been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that priority for SEQ ID NO: 11 and 12 can be found at least from November 30, 1992, thus removing Peng et al. as prior art. However, as set forth above, priority for the invention of claims 15 and 16 is the filing date of the instant application.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1646

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0887.


Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB



June 12, 2004



ELIZABETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER